International Journal of Healthcare Sciences ISSN 2348-5728 (Online)
Vol. 3, Issue 2, pp: (184-188), Month: October 2015 - March 2016, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

Antibiotics Management of Early Appendicitis

[1]Ahmed Abdulkhaleq Abdullah Alghamdi, [2]Mohammed Hameed K. Alswat,
[3]Abdulrahman Ghazi M. Alotaibi, [4]Albaraa Saeed Mohammed Alghamdi

Abstract: **Early acute appendicitis is a standout among the most widely recognized abdominal pain crises around the world. The reason behind appendicitis stays ineffectively comprehended, with few advances in the previous couple of decades. The incidence of acute appendicitis very high in between the population of United States including both children and adults, which lead to increase the cost for more than 3 billion dollars per year. For very long time, acute appendicitis has been treated by surgery, i.e., appendectomy, with benefit of very low rate mortality; however surgical intervention has its disadvantages such pain, scar, adherence and hernia development, and venous thrombosis disease. From this aspect comes the important of this study as the aim of it to identify the benefits and evaluate the treatment of early acute appendicitis with antibiotics, and that will be done through a systemic review of randomized previous studies that were concerned with the antibiotics treatments of appendicitis.**

Conclusion: Antibiotics are both effective and safe as primary treatment for patients with uncomplicated acute appendicitis. Initial antibiotic treatment merits consideration as a primary treatment option for early uncomplicated appendicitis.

Keywords: **Antibiotics, appendicitis, comprehended, incidence, United States including both children and adults.**

1. INTRODUCTION

Acute appendicitis still standout amongst the most widely recognized causes for intense abdominal acute pain. Appendicectomy has been the first treatment of intense early and chronic appendicitis for over a century since was presented by McBurney around the 1880's and was performed by Grooves after from that time forward, the surgical methodology has been institutionalized among surgeon specialists. Present day treatment of choice for acute appendicitis is appendectomy; however complications are inherent to operative treatment. Though surgical appendectomy remains the standard treatment, a few researchers have explored traditionalist antibiotic treatment of early appendicitis and reported great results, as antibiotic treatment is turning out to be progressively essential in the treatment of acute early appendicitis, as past studies have demonstrated that ruptured appendicitis in kids may be treated with anti-bacterial agents. Furthermore, retrospective studies in adults with perforated appendicitis who were treated conservatively indicated that late recurrences exhibited a mild clinical course. In 1953, Harrison reported 42 of 47 cases of Acute Appendicitis being successfully treated using antibiotic therapy. Additionally, in 1959, Coldrey, reported 471 cases of Acute Appendicitis treated conservatively with only 1 death, 9 patients requiring abscess drainage.

However, morbidity and death rates stayed unpleasant for antibiotics treated and appendectomized patients. Different analysts have reported a high achievement rate for the antibiotics treatment of Acute Appendicitis when contrasted with the high complexity rates of appendectomies performed in imperfect conditions amid circumstances of promote medicinal consideration.

2. BACKGROUND

Literature review:

A series of meta-analyses study that was conducted to comparing surgery versus antibiotics alone for treating uncomplicated acute appendicitis in adults, says Based on the current body of evidence, the use of antibiotics for primary treatment of uncomplicated acute appendicitis cannot be routinely recommended. Appendectomy remains the gold-standard treatment. (Leonardo Lima Rocha, Felipe Martin Bianco Rossi, Camila Menezes Souza Pessoa, World J Emerg Surg. 2015; 10: 51)

Armstrong J, Merritt N, in May 2014, stated in their study that contained a retrospective review was performed of children (<18 yrs) treated non-operatively (NOM) for early, acute appendicitis since May 2012. These were compared to patients treated with appendectomy between January 2011 and October 2011, they stated that early acute appendicitis in appropriately selected children can be successfully treated antibiotics.

Dr S. Eriksson and L. Granström, stated In a prospective controlled study published in 2005, the effect of antibiotics as the only treatment in acute appendicitis was evaluated. Of 40 patients admitted with duration of abdominal pain of less than 72 h, 20 received antibiotics intravenously for 2 days followed by oral treatment for 8 days, all patients treated conservatively were discharged within 2 days; Antibiotic treatment for patients with acute appendicitis was as effective as surgery. The patients had less pain and required less analgesia,

Steiner Z, Buklan G, Stackievicz R, in 2015, they conducted their study to evaluate whether antibiotics without surgery is sufficient treatment for children with clinically and ultrasonographically suspected acute appendicitis, their study followed as from 1 November 2013 through 30 June 2014, 45 children were diagnosed with early, acute appendicitis. Ages ranged from 4 to 15 years (mean 9.3) and 32 (75%) were boys. All had routine, clinical laboratory and ultrasound workup. And they stated that observation that some children improve with antibiotics alone at a stage in which surgery is still debatable. These results (89% success rate) support the conservative approach in cases of early appendicitis, without increased morbidity in failed cases.

A study that was conducted in October 2015 in Medical School of Brown University by, Hartwich J, Luks FI, Watson-Smith D, Kurkchubasche AG, they preforme they study on Children between 5-18years with <48h symptoms of acute appendicitis were offered nonoperative treatment: 2 doses of piperacillin IV, then ampicillin/clavulanate ×1 week. and they stated that, Twenty-four patients agreed to undergo nonoperative management, and 50 acted as controls. At a mean follow-up of 14months, three of the 24 failed on therapy, and 2/21 returned with recurrent appendicitis at 43 and 52days, respectively, they stated in their study that antibiotic-only treatment of early appendicitis in children is feasible, safe, and cost-effective and is experienced more favorably by patients and parent.

Liu K, Fogg L, says in their study that were published in 2011 October. In some cases, antibiotic treatment may fail, and there is a risk of recurrence, but Antibiotic therapy shows significantly less complications than any other method of treatment.

The Hasson studyin 2009, the diagnosis looked strictly linked to surgeon's skill; the randomization was related to the date of birth; the subjective diagnostic criteria could explain that 96 patients from the antibiotic group were transferred to the surgical group and 10 patients form the surgical group went into the antibiotic group. Moreover, the primary endpoints were largely different between the two arms of the study

propose and significant of the study:

The aims of this study that to evaluate the early acute appendicitis treatment with only antibiotics treatment. And to compare antibiotic treatment with appendicectomy for the treatment of uncomplicated acute appendicitis, with particular reference to safety and efficacy.

Conservative treatment with antibiotics alone in acute appendicitis has been increasing in recent years, thus our study comes to highlight the most important advantage and disadvantage of the use of the antibiotics treatment in managing the early appendicitis

Method and Search Strategy:

Systemic review for previous studies through Medline (PubMed) database search was performed for studies published up to 2015¬. Studies were selected depending on evaluation of the use antibiotics alone for treating uncomplicated acute appendicitis in adults and children, and also comparative studies that compare with other methods of treatment,

The keywords that were used in our research the following: “appendicitis”, “early acute appendicitis”, and “anti-bacterial agents’ antibiotics”.

And finally, descriptive statistics and data on treatment effects with be retrieved and summarized.

3. RESULTS

Systematic reviews and meta-analyses of the trials, including a Cochrane survey looking at antibiotic treatment and appendicectomy, disseminated starting late dense the affirmation as either for antibiotic treatment or dubious. This could come to fruition as a result of thought of trials with poor frameworks or pulled back since generation, or from enhancing the affirmation as a rundown of both randomized and non-randomized studies. The meta-examination displayed here gives a generous and dynamic summary of the applicable written work, including an as of late distributed randomized controlled trial of 339 patients with an affirmed determination of uncomplicated appendicitis. It excludes the study that has been retracted subsequent to publication, as well as another for which it was not clear if patients were randomised.

Perforated Appendix. In three studies [Styrud J, Eriksson S,2006. Malik AA, Bari SU,2009. Hansson J, Körner U,2009] involving a total of 372 patients, the number of patients undergoing surgery to treat perforated AA was reported.

Number of Patients Treated with Antibiotics. This information was available in previous mentioned three studies. In the surgical gathering, the patients treated with antibiotics incorporate the individuals who rejected the operation and in addition the individuals who experienced surgery to address a gangrenous or punctured supplement. In the traditionalist treatment bunch, the patients not treated with antibiotics were the individuals who favored the appendectomy less the individuals who experienced operations with a gangrenous or punctured informative supplement. Despite the fact that this data was promptly accessible in every one of the four concentrates, just in a solitary article [Hansson J, Körner U,2009] were they obviously reported and subdivided into major and minor confusions.

Pain and Analgesic Consumption, in two studies including an aggregate of 120 patients, torment assessment was accounted for as the mean of the VAS (0–100 mm) score as enlisted by patients each 6 h amid their doctor's facility stay (initial 42 postoperative hours) and by a specialist amid subsequent examinations. Indeed, even pain relieving utilization, communicated as the mean of morphine measurements in milligram and of diclofenac sodium dosage in milligram, was accounted for incendiary Laboratory Tests and Body Temperature. In the same two studies, the mean convergence of C-receptive protein (CRP), the mean aggregate white platelet (WBC) number, and the mean body temperature were recorded amid hospitalization and amid the 30-day follow-up period.

Hospital Stay, Sick Leave and Time Off of Work the patients' healing facility stay, communicated as the mean number of hospitalized days, was accounted for in all studies. In any case, the normal debilitated leave was accounted for by just two studies and the average recovery time spent 'off of work' was reported in only one study, as it shown in table1.

Table1: Characteristics of patients included in each RCT (antibiotics/surgery) included in the meta-analysis:

Patient characteristics	Eriksson and Granström [25]	Styrud et al. [26]	Malik and Bari [27]	Hansson et al. [28]
Patients randomized, n	20/20	128/124	40/40	202/167
Mean age (range), years	27.8 (18–53)/5.0 (19–75)	NR	28.7 (17–56)/32.6 (18–64)	38 (1)/38 (1)
Male:female ratio	14:6/13:7	128:0/124:0	26:14/28:12	103:99/92:75
Previous abdominal surgery	NR	NR	NR	20/27
Suspicion of previous AA	NR	NR	NR	18/10
Mean duration of pain, h	21.0 ± 14.7/18.4 ± 11.8	NR	23.0 ± 16.4/21.3 ± 14.3	NR
Mean total WBC count ($\times 10^9$/l) upon admission	13.8 ± 4.4/13.9 ± 4.1	12.5 ± 3.8/12.4 ± 3.5	14.2 ± 4.9/14.7 ± 4.4	12.7 (0.3)/13.6 (0.3)*
Mean CRP concentration, mg/l, upon admission	41 ± 30/40 ± 38	55 ± 44/54 ± 49	43 ± 29/42 ± 34	55 (4)/54 (4)
Mean body temperature upon admission, °C	37.2 ± 0.7/37.1 ± 0.7	37.5 ± 0.7/37.4 ± 0.8	37.4 ± 0.6/37.6 ± 0.7	37.3 (0.1)/37.5 (0.1)
Mean hospital stay, days	3.1 ± 0.3/3.4 ± 1.9	3.0 ± 1.4/2.6 ± 1.2	2.3 ± 0.3/1.2 ± 2.1	3 (0.1)/3 (0.3)
Mean sick leave, days	NR	5.3 ± 4.1/6.0 ± 4.4	NR	7 (1)/11 (1)**
Mean time off work, days	NR	8.0 ± 8.0/10.1 ± 7.6	NR	NR

NR = Not reported; CRP = C-reactive protein; WBC = white blood count; AA = acute appendicitis.
* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$.

Despite what might be expected, antibiotic treatment does not seem, by all accounts, to be a hurtful treatment alternative too exhibited in the writing with case arrangement, RCT and Meta investigation. Coldrey reported one passing among 471 patients treated conservatively in 1959. No randomized trial has possessed the capacity to exhibit that antibiotic disappointment taking after surgical postponement is connected with expanded mortality or grimness contrasted with essential surgery. The security of deferred appendectomy, characterized as 30-days results, has been likewise affirmed by Ingraham in a review investigation of 32,782 patients.

Albeit some clinical trials have neglected to well characterize entanglements, the horribleness situation ranges from shallow injury contaminations to cardiovascular complexity identified with surgery and anesthesia. Hansson discovered a fundamentally bring down rate of real intricacies in the antibiotic gathering contrasting with surgery both in the goal to treat either in the convention examination: 5.4% versus 10.8% and 2.5% versus 10%, individually. Minor entanglements didn't contrast among gatherings. Then again, as indicated by Vons, post-treatment peritonitis likely associated with antibiotic treatment, however without coming to measurable essentialness. By and large, if there should be an occurrence of non-punctured a ruptured appendix, post appendectomy horribleness rates range somewhere around 10 and 20%, coming to 30% when aperture happens.

4. DISCUSSION

For this reason, attempts to treat straightforward and otherwise uncomplicated cases of AA with a conservative antibiotic therapy has become a very attractive alternative to surgery. Effective monotherapy antibiotics have as of late turned out to be progressively accessible, having been utilized effectively to treat convoluted cases, for example, intense diverticulitis and Acute Appendicitis with phlegmon or boil arrangement. Be that as it may, in spite of its prosperity with more propelled contaminations, antibiotic treatment alone has not yet been acknowledged as an institutionalized treatment for no complicated instances of Acute Appendicitis.

Although antibiotics following an appendectomy may reduce wound infections and intra-abdominal abscesses at the point when contrasted with surgery alone, next to no proof exists to recommend that moderate antibiotic treatment of Acute Appendicitis is a successful treatment all by itself. While contrasting traditionalist antibiotic treatment with surgery in grown-up patients with uncomplicated instances of Acute Appendicitis. Indeed, even the present studies demonstrate an essentially bring down clinical viability of traditionalist antibiotic treatment when contrasted with appendectomies in the treatment of Acute Appendicitis. As per the assigned meaning of 'clinical viability', which is exceptionally restricted from a test point of view, antibiotic regimens are considered to have low adequacy because of the high rate of patients requiring an appendectomy amid their first Acute Appendicitis episode as well as those patients experiencing recurrences after receiving conservative treatments. Among the patients in the antibiotic therapy group of the different studies included in the systemic review, the percentages of those undergoing surgery during the first 48 h and of those experiencing an Acute Appendicitis recurrence within the first year following treatment varied from 5 to 47.5% and from 10.5 to 36.8%, respectively.

5. CONCLUSION

Antibiotics treatment assumes an essential part in the administration of intra-abdominal contamination, particularly in basically sick patients who require prompt empiric antibiotic treatment. A deficient or generally lacking antimicrobial regimen is one of the variables most firmly connected with unfavorable results. In the treatment of Acute Appendicitis, antibiotic treatment remains a foundation however surgery is still viewed as the highest quality level. A wide range of antibiotic regimen have been utilized to treat Acute Appendicitis and a wide range of studies have been begun to think about diverse antibiotics regimen in the treatment of confined or diffuse peritonitis.

REFERENCES

[1] Humes DJ, Simpson J. Acute appendicitis. BMJ. 2006;333:530–534. doi:10.1136/bmj.38940.664363.

[2] Addiss DG, Shaffer N, Fowler BS, Tauxe RV. The epidemiology of appendicitis and appendectomy in the United States. Am J Epidemiol. 1990;132:910–925.

[3] Armstrong J, Merritt N, Jones S, Scott L, Bütter A. Non-operative management of early, acute appendicitis in children: is it safe and effective? 2014 May;49(5):782-5.

[4] Steiner Z, Buklan G, Stackievicz R, Gutermacher M, Erez I2. A role for conservative antibiotic treatment in early appendicitis in children. 2015 Sep;50(9):1566-8.

[5] Dr S. Eriksson and L. Granström. Randomized controlled trial of appendicectomy versus antibiotic herapy for acute appendicitis. 8 DEC 2005 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.1800820207.

[6] Liu K, Fogg L. Use of antibiotics alone for treatment of uncomplicated acute appendicitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Surgery. 2011;150:673–683. doi: 10.1016/j.surg.2011.08.018.

[7] Ansaloni L, Catena F, Coccolini F, Ercolani G, Gazzotti F, Pasqualini E, et al. Surgery versus conservative antibiotic treatment in acute appendicitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Dig Surg. 2011;28:210–21.

[8] Coldrey E: Five years of conservative treatment of acute appendicitis. J Int Coll Surg 1959;32:255–261.

[9] Harrison PW: Appendicitis and antibiotics. Am J Surg 1953;85:160–163.

[10] Nomura O, Ishiguro A, Meakawa T, Nagai A, Kuroda T, Sakai H. Antibiotic administration can be an independent risk factor for therapeutic delay of pediatric acute appendicitis. Pediatr Emerg Care. 2012;28:792–5.

[11] Saverio D, Sibilio A, Giorgini E, Biscardi A, Villani S, Coccolini F, et al. The NOTA Study (Non Operative Treatment for Acute Appendicitis): prospective study on the efficacy and safety of antibiotics (amoxicillin and clavulanic acid) for treating patients with right lower quadrant abdominal pain and long-term follow-up of conservatively treated suspected appendicitis. Ann Surg. 2014;260:109–17.

[12] Salminen P, Paajanen H, Rautio T, Nordström P, Aarnio M, Rantanen T, et al. Antibiotic therapy vs appendectomy for treatment of uncomplicated acute appendicitis: the APPAC randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2015;313:2340–8.

[13] Andersen BR, Kallehave FL, Andersen HK. Antibiotics versus placebo for prevention of postoperative infection after appendicectomy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2005;20:CD001439.

[14] Varadhan KK, Humes DJ, Neal KR, Lobo DN. Antibiotic therapy versus appendectomy for acute appendicitis: a meta-analysis. World J Surg2009;34:199-209.

[15] Blomqvist PG, Andersson RE, Granath F, Lambe MP, Ekbom AR. Mortality after appendectomy in Sweden, 1987-1996.Ann Surg2001;233:455-60.

[16] Liu K, Ahanchi S, Pisaneschi M, Lin I, Walter R. Can acute appendicitis be treated by antibiotics alone? Am Surg2007;73:1161-5.

[17] Livingston EH, Woodward WA, Sarosi GA, Haley RW. Disconnect between incidence of nonperforated and perforated appendicitis: implications for pathophysiology and management. Ann Surg2007;245:886-92.

[18] Liu K, Fogg L. Use of antibiotics alone for treatment of uncomplicated acute appendicitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Surgery2011;150:673-83.

[19] Hansson J, Korner U, Khorram-Manesh A, Solberg A and Lundholm K. Randomized clinical trial of antibiotic therapy versus appendicectomy as primary treatment of acute appendicitis in unselected patients. Br J Surg. 2009; 96:473-81.